

## Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2000

Lecturer's Name: John Politis  
 Subject Code: 25122  
 Subject Name: Organisational Behaviour

02:00pm - 30/05/2000  
 No. Enrolled: 65  
 No. of Responses: 51

Order No: 264	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
1. The lecturer made clear the objectives for each session.	4.5 (0.5)	28	SA	56
		21	A	42
		1	N	2
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable No Response	0
2. The lecturer was able to explain concepts clearly.	4.5 (0.5)	27	SA	54
		22	A	44
		1	N	2
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable No Response	0
3. The lecturer seemed well prepared for each session.	4.6 (0.5)	32	SA	64
		17	A	34
		1	N	2
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable No Response	0
4. The lecturer taught the subject matter in a way which helped me understand it.	4.5 (0.7)	28	SA	56
		17	A	34
		5	N	10
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable No Response	0
5. The lecturer tried to make the subject interesting.	4.5 (0.6)	29	SA	58
		19	A	38
		2	N	4
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable No Response	0
6. The lecturer demonstrated the relevance of the subject to the whole course.	4.5 (0.6)	28	SA	56
		19	A	38
		3	N	6
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable No Response	0
7. The lecturer made opportunities to ask questions.	4.2 (0.7)	19	SA	38
		24	A	48
		7	N	14
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable No Response	0
8. The lecturer was available for consultation.	4.5 (0.5)	28	SA	55
		22	A	43
		1	N	2
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
9. The lecturer made clear the criteria used to assess student work.	4.3 (0.6)	20	SA	40
		25	A	50
		5	N	10
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable No Response	0

Scale:                      SD                      D                      N                      A                      SA  
                                  Strongly Disagree (1)    Disagree (2)    Neutral (3)    Agree (4)    Strongly Agree (5)

**Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2000**

Lecturer's Name: John Politis  
 Subject Code: 25122  
 Subject Name: Organisational Behaviour

02:00pm - 30/05/2000  
 No. Enrolled: 65  
 No. of Responses: 51

Order No: 264	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
10. The lecturer gave adequate feedback on assignments and other prescribed work.	4.3 (0.7)	21	SA	42
		23	A	46
		6	N	12
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable	
0	No Response			
11. The subject covered what the subject description said it would.	4.2 (0.6)	17	SA	34
		27	A	54
		6	N	12
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
1	No Response			
12. Assessment tasks set and/or exams were related to the subject goals.	4.3 (0.6)	20	SA	39
		26	A	51
		5	N	10
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
0	No Response			
13. Assessment tasks allowed me to demonstrate what I had learnt.	4.3 (0.6)	19	SA	37
		28	A	55
		4	N	8
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
0	No Response			
14. The workload was comparable to other subjects at this level.	4.0 (0.8)	15	SA	29
		22	A	43
		14	N	27
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
0	No Response			
15. The workload was too heavy.	3.4 (0.9)	6	SA	12
		16	A	32
		20	N	40
		7	D	14
		1	SD	2
		1	Not Applicable	
0	No Response			
16. This subject gave me a good introduction to the field.	4.3 (0.7)	21	SA	42
		23	A	46
		6	N	12
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
1	No Response			
17. The subject covered topics at appropriate depth.	4.2 (0.7)	17	SA	33
		26	A	51
		8	N	16
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
0	No Response			
18. The subject is relevant to the course.	4.2 (0.7)	19	SA	38
		24	A	48
		7	N	14
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
1	No Response			

Scale: SD Strongly Disagree (1) D Disagree (2) N Neutral (3) A Agree (4) SA Strongly Agree (5)

**Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2000**

Lecturer's Name: John Politis  
 Subject Code: 25122  
 Subject Name: Organisational Behaviour

02:00pm - 30/05/2000  
 No. Enrolled: 65  
 No. of Responses: 51

Order No: 264	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
19. The case study was a valuable part of the subject.	3.9 (0.9)	16	SA	33
		13	A	27
		18	N	38
		1	D	2
		0	SD	0
		2	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
20. The assessment process used in this subject helped me to learn the material.	4.1 (0.7)	17	SA	35
		22	A	45
		10	N	20
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
21. The simulations was a valuable part of the subject.	4.3 (0.6)	15	SA	34
		25	A	57
		4	N	9
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		6	Not Applicable	
		1	No Response	
22. I improved my understanding of the way groups can work effectively.	4.2 (0.7)	17	SA	33
		27	A	53
		7	N	14
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
23. The lecturer structures the material well.	4.5 (0.6)	25	SA	49
		25	A	49
		0	N	0
		1	D	2
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
24. The lecturer is approachable.	4.6 (0.5)	33	SA	65
		17	A	33
		1	N	2
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	

Scale:	SD	D	N	A	SA
	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)

**Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2000**

Lecturer's Name: John Politis	02:00pm - 30/05/2000
Subject Code: 25122	No. Enrolled: 65
Subject Name: Organisational Behaviour	No. of Responses: 51

Order No: 264	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
25. All things considered, how would you rate the teaching of this lecturer in this subject?	6.4 (0.7)	26	E	51
		21	VG	41
		3	G	6
		1	S	2
		0	NQS	0
		0	P	0
		0	VP	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	

Scale:	VP	P	NQS	S	G	VG	E
	Very Poor (1)	Poor (2)	Not Quite Satisfactory (3)	Satisfactory (4)	Good (5)	Very Good (6)	Excellent (7)

## Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2000

Lecturer's Name: John Politis  
 Subject Code: 21131  
 Subject Name: Business Process Management

06:00pm - 02/06/2000  
 No. Enrolled: 30  
 No. of Responses: 25

Order No: 267	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
1. The lecturer made clear the objectives for each session.	4.6 (0.5)	15	SA	60
		10	A	40
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		0	No Response	0
2. The lecturer was able to explain concepts clearly.	4.6 (0.5)	15	SA	60
		10	A	40
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		0	No Response	0
3. The lecturer seemed well prepared for each session.	4.7 (0.5)	17	SA	68
		8	A	32
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		0	No Response	0
4. The lecturer taught the subject matter in a way which helped me understand it.	4.6 (0.7)	16	SA	64
		7	A	28
		2	N	8
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		0	No Response	0
5. The lecturer tried to make the subject interesting.	4.6 (0.7)	16	SA	64
		7	A	28
		2	N	8
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		0	No Response	0
6. The lecturer demonstrated the relevance of the subject to the whole course.	4.5 (0.6)	14	SA	56
		10	A	40
		1	N	4
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		0	No Response	0
7. The lecturer made opportunities to ask questions.	4.7 (0.5)	18	SA	72
		7	A	28
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		0	No Response	0
8. The lecturer was available for consultation.	4.6 (0.6)	15	SA	60
		9	A	36
		1	N	4
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		0	No Response	0
9. The lecturer made clear the criteria used to assess student work.	4.5 (0.7)	14	SA	56
		9	A	36
		2	N	8
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	0
		0	No Response	0

Scale: SD Strongly Disagree (1) D Disagree (2) N Neutral (3) A Agree (4) SA Strongly Agree (5)

## Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2000

Lecturer's Name: John Politis  
 Subject Code: 21131  
 Subject Name: Business Process Management

06:00pm - 02/06/2000  
 No. Enrolled: 30  
 No. of Responses: 25

Order No: 267	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
10. The lecturer gave adequate feedback on assignments and other prescribed work.	4.5 (0.5)	13	SA	52
		12	A	48
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
11. The subject covered what the subject description said it would.	4.4 (0.6)	12	SA	48
		12	A	48
		1	N	4
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
12. Assessment tasks set and/or exams were related to the subject goals.	4.3 (0.6)	9	SA	36
		15	A	60
		1	N	4
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
13. Assessment tasks allowed me to demonstrate what I had learnt.	4.4 (0.5)	11	SA	44
		14	A	56
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
14. The workload was comparable to other subjects at this level.	4.1 (0.7)	6	SA	24
		17	A	68
		1	N	4
		1	D	4
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
15. The workload was too heavy.	3.2 (0.9)	2	SA	9
		5	A	22
		11	N	48
		5	D	22
		0	SD	0
		1	Not Applicable No Response	0
16. This subject gave me a good introduction to the field.	4.2 (0.5)	5	SA	20
		19	A	76
		1	N	4
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
17. The subject covered topics at appropriate depth.	4.4 (0.6)	11	SA	44
		12	A	48
		2	N	8
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0
18. The subject is relevant to the course.	4.1 (0.5)	5	SA	20
		18	A	72
		2	N	8
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable No Response	0

Scale:                      SD                      D                      N                      A                      SA  
                                  Strongly Disagree (1)    Disagree (2)    Neutral (3)    Agree (4)    Strongly Agree (5)

## Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2000

Lecturer's Name: John Politis  
 Subject Code: 21131  
 Subject Name: Business Process Management

06:00pm - 02/06/2000  
 No. Enrolled: 30  
 No. of Responses: 25

Order No: 267	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
19. The case study was a valuable part of the subject.	4.2 (0.6)	8	SA	32
		15	A	60
		2	N	8
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
20. The assessment process used in this subject helped me to learn the material.	4.4 (0.5)	10	SA	40
		15	A	60
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
21. The simulations was a valuable part of the subject.	3.9 (0.7)	4	SA	24
		8	A	47
		5	N	29
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		8	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
22. I improved my understanding of the way groups can work effectively.	4.0 (0.9)	6	SA	24
		16	A	64
		1	N	4
		1	D	4
		1	SD	4
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
23. The lecturer structures the material well.	4.6 (0.7)	17	SA	68
		5	A	20
		3	N	12
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	
24. The lecturer is approachable.	4.8 (0.4)	20	SA	80
		5	A	20
		0	N	0
		0	D	0
		0	SD	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	

Scale:                   SD                   D                   N                   A                   SA  
                           Strongly Disagree (1)   Disagree (2)   Neutral (3)   Agree (4)   Strongly Agree (5)

**Student Feedback Results : Autumn 2000**

Lecturer's Name: John Politis	06:00pm - 02/06/2000
Subject Code: 21131	No. Enrolled: 30
Subject Name: Business Process Management	No. of Responses: 25

Order No: 267	Mean (SD)	Number of Responses		%
25. All things considered, how would you rate the teaching of this lecturer in this subject?	6.4 (0.6)	12	E	48
		11	VG	44
		2	G	8
		0	S	0
		0	NQS	0
		0	P	0
		0	VP	0
		0	Not Applicable	
		0	No Response	

Scale:	VP	P	NQS	S	G	VG	E
	Very Poor (1)	Poor (2)	Not Quite Satisfactory (3)	Satisfactory (4)	Good (5)	Very Good (6)	Excellent (7)